UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,269	04/18/2006	Yuzuru Sugano	Q94086	3633
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 03/17/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVÁNIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			TAYLOR II, JAMES W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/576,269	SUGANO ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	James W. Taylor II	1796		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 J</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	own from consideration.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and acceptable acceptable and acceptable ac	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/576,269 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

1. All outstanding rejections and objections not explicitly maintained below are withdrawn in light of applicant's amendment filed 1/9/2009.

2. The text of those sections of 35 U.S.C. not included in this action can be found in a prior action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. Claims 1-2, 5-8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahn *et alli* (US 2004/0009614 A1).
- 4. Ahn teaches magnetic bead-based arrays (ti). The magnetic beads are particles surface coated with a layer of material suitable for adsorbing or chemically coupling an analyte, for e.g., immunoassay or other analytes (par. 37). The beads comprise metallic metal oxides coated with polymers, which can be *inter alia* polyethylene or polypropylene (pars. 38-39). The preferred particle size is from 1 to 10 microns (par. 38).
- 5. Regarding claims 1, 6, and 10, the applicant claims properties (i.e., density and magnetic material loading in the particles) in which each is ultimately controlled by ratio of magnetic material to polymer ratio. Although Ahn is silent with respect to the amount of magnetic material relative to the particle, one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that the amount of magnetic material would directly control the sensitivity of the particles to magnetic radiation and thus an electric field. Given the disclosed utility for immunoassay, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the ratio of magnetic

Art Unit: 1796

material to polymer as a result effective variable. Optimization of result effective variables through routine experimentation is not a patentable distinction. See *In re Beosch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980) and MPEP 2144.05 (II) (B). Thus, it would have been obvious to optimize the amount of magnetic material relative to the polymer, thereby arriving at the instant claims.

- 6. Regarding claim 2, as noted above, the reference discloses using polyethylene or polypropylene as the polymer.
- 7. Regarding claim 5, as noted above, the preferred particle size of the reference's particles is 1 to 10 microns.
- 8. Regarding claims 7-8, as ferromagnetic, ferrimagnetic, paramagnetic, and superparamagnetic are mutually exhaustive of all magnetic materials, one of ordinary skill in the art would immediately envisage all four classes of magnetic materials.

 Further, the reference is open to all magnetic materials (par. 38). Thus, it would have been obvious for one of the art to utilize any of these four magnetic materials.
- 9. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahn et alli (US 2004/0009614 A1) as applied to claims 1-2, 5-8, and 10, in view of Guo et alli (US 6,177,088).
- 10. Although as noted above Ahn teaches a functionalized coating (i.e., "chemical coupling"), Ahn fails to teach what functional groups are used in the coating listed in the present claim 3.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,269

Art Unit: 1796

11. Guo teaches surface-functionalilized, probe-containing nanospheres (ti.). Specifically, Guo's nanospheres can be surface coated with carboxylic acid groups, which will bind with antigens for immunoassay procedures (ab.; c. 4, II. 35-54; c. 4, I. 64 to c. 5, I. 15). The compounds containing the carboxylic acid groups further contain a terminal olefin, to bind with the outer shell (c. 4, II. 35-54). Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art has motivation and ability to use the functional groups of Guo to attach antigens for immunoassay.

Page 4

- 12. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to polymerize Guo's acid-compound into Ahn, thereby arriving at one or more embodiments of the present claims 3-4.
- 13. Claims 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahn et alli (US 2004/0009614 A1) as applied to claims 1-2, 5-8, and 10, in view of Goldman et alli (US 4,097,392).
- 14. Ahn fails to teach using a manganese-zinc ferrite, a nickel-zinc ferrite, or a combination thereof as the magnetic material.
- 15. Goldman teaches a method for manufacturing soft ferrite materials, including nickel-zinc ferrites and manganese-zinc ferrites (c. 10, II. 66-67). The ferrites fall within the scope of Ahn's disclose "metal oxides." Further, Ahn discloses using nickel- or manganese-ferrites (par. 38). One of ordinary skill in the art would expect that nickel-zinc ferrites and manganese-zinc ferrites would behave similarly to nickel- or manganese-ferrites. In view of the above, it would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use nickel-zinc ferrites or manganese-zinc ferrites as the magnetic material under the motivation that the presence of zinc would be expected to alter the magnetic characteristics of the composite.

Response to Arguments

- 16. Applicant's arguments with respect to Davies have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 17. Applicant does not present any arguments relative to the secondary reference Goldman, and thus the use of that reference is maintained in combination with another primary reference.

Conclusion

- 18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James W. Taylor II whose telephone number is (571) 270-5457. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am to 5:00 pm (off every other Friday).
- 19. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,269 Page 6

Art Unit: 1796

20. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James W Taylor II/ Examiner, Art Unit 1796

jwt2

/Vasu Jagannathan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796